

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TSADOK ZIZI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

REPUBLIC MORTGAGE, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00018-GMN-PAL

**REPORT OF FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's failure to comply with this court's Order (Dkt. #2) and Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #3).

On January 20, 2012, the court entered an Order (Dkt. #2) requiring Plaintiffs to file a Certificate of Interested Parties in compliance with Local Rule 7.1-1 on or before February 3, 2012. The order warned Plaintiff that his/her failure to comply "may result in the issuance of an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed." *Id.* Plaintiff failed to file his/her Certificate of Interested Parties and did not request an extension of time in which to comply with the court's Order (Dkt. #2).

On February 13, 2012, the court entered an Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #3) based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's previous Order (Dkt. #2). The court directed Plaintiff to show cause in writing no later than February 23, 2012, why he/she had not complied with the court's Order (Dkt. #2). The Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #3) advised Plaintiff that "[f]ailing to comply with the Local Rules of Practice, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the court's orders may result in sanctions, up to and including case-dispositive sanctions." Plaintiff failed to file a response to the Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #3), and has not requested an extension of time in which to do so.

Plaintiff's willful failure to comply with the court's Orders is an abusive litigation practice that has interfered with the court's ability to hear this case, delayed litigation, disrupted the court's timely

1 management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and threatened the integrity of the court's orders  
2 and the orderly administration of justice. The Certificate of Interested Parties is required for the court  
3 to assess whether a conflict of interest exists which requires the assigned judge(s) to disqualify himself  
4 or herself. Sanctions less drastic than dismissal are unavailable because Plaintiff has wilfully refused to  
5 comply with multiple court Orders and the Local Rules of Practice.

6 Accordingly,

7 **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff's Complaint be **DISMISSED** unless Plaintiff files the  
8 Certificate of Interested Parties no later than **March 16, 2012**.

9 Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2012.

10  
11   
12 PEGGY A. LEEN  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28